

Reducing mental health and substance use-related emergency department and inpatient care utilization



Ontario emergency department (ED) and acute care settings face pressures relating to mental health and substance use (MHSU)-related care. The *Supporting Transformation through Research, Evidence, and Action in Mental health (STREAM) Lab* at the Waypoint Centre for Mental Health Care conducted a rapid review of academic literature on interventions to reduce MHSU-related ED visits, and hospital admissions and readmissions. Based on 16 systematic and scoping reviews, we highlight key findings and considerations that may be relevant to decision-makers supporting MHSU systems planning in Ontario.

We identified several interventions that may help reduce MHSU-related ED visits, hospital admissions, and readmissions (coloured green). Evidence was inconclusive for others (coloured orange). This table does not reflect the magnitude of effects or the strength of evidence. Interventions are operationalized in diverse ways, leading to varying outcomes across different iterations and contexts. Reviews do not consistently distinguish between avoidable and unavoidable ED visits, hospital admissions, and readmissions. Moreover, we focused solely on ED and admission outcomes; interventions included in the table below may contribute to a care continuum through impact on other outcomes or experiences.

For adults:

Outcome of interest	Interventions
Reducing ED visits	Community-based crisis services that offered drop-in clinical and peer support in non-medical settings ¹
	Care planning and linking to assertive community outreach from the ED (reduces repeat ED visits) ²
	Integrated and collaborative care , including integrated primary care models (reduces repeat ED visits) ³
	Telepsychiatry , including a broad range of programs ⁴
Reducing hospital admissions	Community-based crisis services as described above ¹
	Crisis Resolution Teams that offered time-limited, high-intensity home-based treatment services, sometimes with psychiatric support ^{5 6}
	ED-based short-stay units that offered enhanced assessment for people presenting to the ED with MHSU concerns ^{7 8 9}
Reducing hospital readmissions	Advance statements through which service users expressed their preferences in advance of a crisis (prevents involuntary readmissions specifically) ¹⁰
	ED-based short-stay units as described above ^{7 8 9}
	Multicomponent transitional discharge interventions that are initiated in in-patient settings and provide transitional support into community care following discharge ¹¹
	Discharge coordinators or navigators, or other discharge-focused roles ¹²
	Contact-based interventions such as telephone follow-up after hospital discharge ¹²
	Caregiver involvement in discharge and transitional interventions ¹³

For children and youth:

Outcome of interest	Interventions
Reducing ED visits	Urgent psychiatric services which provide rapid access to outpatient psychiatric assessment ¹⁴
Reducing hospital admissions	Specialized ED-based interdisciplinary psychiatric teams in pediatric ED settings ¹⁵
	ED-based short-stay units as described above ¹⁶
	Urgent psychiatric services as described above ^{14 17}
Reducing hospital readmissions	No relevant interventions reported in the included literature

Reducing mental health and substance use-related emergency department and psychiatric inpatient care utilization

There are several limitations to the evidence presented above:

- The existing evidence on this topic lacks methodological strength.
- Comparisons were challenging due to heterogeneity in intervention types, implementation strategies, and outcome metrics.
- Our search was not optimized to identify outcomes or adaptations for equity-denied populations, and did not capture literature addressing the role of upstream interventions, primary care, early intervention, or stepped care, nor did it capture a framework for a complete and integrated continuum of care.



Considerations for decision-makers:

Consider community crisis services, ED-based short-stay units, and urgent psychiatry services, as elements of a continuum of services to reduce avoidable hospitalizations and ED visits across the lifespan.

While operationalization varies and the evidence base is limited, these approaches emerged as having more consistent support for effectiveness based on the included literature.



Interventions should align with, complement, and strengthen a multi-component, integrated continuum of care.

- Single-component interventions appear to be less effective in reducing acute and inpatient service use.¹²
- To support care continuity, interventions should be multi-component, integrated, and inclusive of community-based and outpatient care.



Regional variation and distinct population needs should be considered.

- Available local resources should be leveraged for equity-deserving populations.
- Rural and remote areas may face distinct challenges (e.g., dispersed populations, access to specialized care).
- Collaboration with community members and organizations can support equitable intervention design and implementation.



Given the limitations in the evidence, rigorous and theory-guided intervention design, implementation, and evaluation can help to identify effective, scalable solutions.

- The current evidence does not point to a clear set of leading practices.
- Future efforts should be grounded in a strong theory of change and supported by rigorous implementation and outcome evaluation.



References

(1)Postorino D, Parker S, Whiteford H, Papinczak Z, Rutherford Z. Person-centred crisis support services as alternatives to emergency departments: a systematic scoping review. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2025;60(1):25–37. doi:10.1007/s00127-024-02776-1. (2)Gabet M, Armoon B, Meng X, Fleury MJ. Effectiveness of emergency department-based interventions for frequent users with mental health issues: A systematic review. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2023;74(1):8. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2023.07.008. (3)Kao W, Shalaby R, Agyapong VO. Interventions to Reduce Repeat Presentations to Hospital Emergency Departments for Mental Health Concerns: A Scoping Review of the Literature. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2023;11(8):161. doi:10.3390/healthcare1108161. (4)Koblauch H, Reinhardt SM, Lissau W, Jensen PL. The effect of telepsychiatric modalities on reduction of readmissions in psychiatric settings: A systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2018;24(1):31–36. doi:10.1177/1357633216670285. (5)Wheeler C, Lloyd-Evans B, Churchard A, et al. Implementation of the Crisis Resolution Team model in adult mental health settings: a systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2015;15(1):74. doi:10.1186/s12888-015-0441-x. (6)Yang J, Glover N, Wood L. Patient experiences of crisis home treatment teams: a systematic review and thematic synthesis. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. Published online February 17, 2025. doi:10.1007/s00127-025-02830-6. (7)Anderson K, Goldsmith LP, Lomani J, et al. Short-stay crisis units for mental health patients on crisis care pathways: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJPsych Open*. 2022;8(4):e44. doi:10.1192/bjpo.2022.534. (8)Magarey AW, Wang J, Looi JCL, Allison S, Bashampilla T. Systematic Review of Psychiatric Observation Units and Their Impact on Emergency Department Boarding, Inpatient Care, and Outcomes. *Psychiatr Res*. 2023;256(1):113548. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2023.113548. (9)Gillard S, Anderson K, Clarke G, et al. Evaluating mental health decision units in acute care pathways (DECISION): a quasi-experimental, qualitative and health economic evaluation. *Health Soc Care Deliv Res*. 2023;11(25):1–22. doi:10.33019/PSM2274. (10)de Jong WH, Kamperman AM, Corsic M, et al. Interventions to Reduce Compulsory Psychiatric Admissions: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016;73(7):657–664. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.0501. (11)Hegeudus A, Kozel B, Richter D, Behrens J. Effectiveness of Transitional Interventions in Improving Patient Outcomes and Service Use After Discharge From Psychiatric Inpatient Care: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;10:2019. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00969. (12)Tyler N, Wright N, Waring J. Interventions to improve discharge from acute adult mental health inpatient care to the community: systematic review and narrative synthesis. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2019;19(1):883. doi:10.1186/s12913-019-4658-0. (13)Petkari E, Kasalinyte J, Altun S, Giacco D. Involvement of informal carers in discharge planning and transition between hospital and community mental health care: A systematic review. *Psychiatric Mental Health Nurs*. 2021;28(4):521–530. doi:10.1111/jpm.12701. (14)Xie M, Wodzinski M, Gajaria A, Battaglia M, Rotem A. Review: Impact of urgent youth outpatient mental health care on patient and health system outcomes – a scoping review. *Child Adolesc Ment Health*. 2023;28(2):287–298. doi:10.1111/camh.12545. (15)Newton AS, Hartling L, Soleimani A, Kirkland S, Dyson MP, Cappelli M. A systematic review of management strategies for children's mental health care in the emergency department: update on evidence and recommendations for clinical practice and research. *Emerg Med J*. 2017;34(6):376–384. doi:10.1136/emmermed-2016-205939. (16)Otis M, Barber S, Armet M, Nicholls D. Models of integrated care for young people experiencing medical emergencies related to mental illness: a realist systematic review. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2023;32(12):2439–2452. doi:10.1007/s00787-022-02085-5. (17)Sunderlin N, De Biana JT, Stergiopoulos V. Urgent Psychiatric Services: A Scoping Review. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2015;60(9):393–402. doi:10.1177/070674371506000904

About STREAM Lab

Supporting Transformation through Research, Evidence, and Action in Mental Health (STREAM) Lab is dedicated to meeting the evidence needs of mental health and addictions decision-makers in Ontario and beyond. *STREAM* products focus on evidence related to health systems, delivering actionable insights that can inform planning and decision-making. *STREAM* is based at the *Waypoint Centre for Mental Health Care*. The findings in this product should not be taken to represent the views of *Waypoint* or our funders.