

Engaging people with lived experience in mental health and substance use decision-making



While people with lived/living experience and expertise (PWLE) of mental health and substance use (MHSU) have played a major role in shaping MHSU systems for decades, this role is increasingly recognized and formalized. The *Supporting Transformation through Research, Evidence, and Action in Mental health (STREAM) Lab* at the *Waypoint Centre for Mental Healthcare* conducted a rapid review of academic literature and published reports, and interviewed experts (most of whom have lived experience) to explore approaches to PWLE engagement in MHSU decision-making. Based on 25 academic articles; over 30 toolkits, resources, and real-world examples; and 17 diverse expert perspectives, we highlight key findings and considerations that may be relevant to decision-makers supporting MHSU systems planning in Ontario.

Impact of PWLE engagement

Evidence suggests that PWLE engagement has impacts at individual, organizational/community, and system levels:

Individual level: engagement can support personal healing and skills-building among PWLE.



Organizational/community level: engagement can build community capacity, balance power dynamics between decision-makers and PWLE, and promote the use of collective knowledge.



Societal level: engagement can support the development of relevant and equitable policies; stigma reduction; and cultural shifts around how we talk about and address MHSU concerns and needs.



Main approaches to PWLE engagement

Seven approaches to engaging PWLE in policymaking were identified:

Deliberative



Dialogues between PWLE, system decision-makers, care providers, researchers, etc. on various policy priority areas.

Committees and councils



May include PWLE embedded into existing advisory bodies or groups consisting solely PWLE.

PWLE-led



Entails PWLE leadership in advocacy and decision-making, often drawing on collective knowledge.

Narrative



Uses stories and personal accounts to influence decision-making.

Digital



Uses social media and digital tools to gather insights from PWLE (e.g., analysis of posts).

Research



Gathers insights from PWLE through research-based methods (e.g., interviews, reviewing existing research).

Translation



Involves translating lived experience into forms that are legible to decision-makers.

Engagement also occurs along a spectrum from more passive forms of participation to those where PWLE actively influence decisions. Different PWLE may want to be engaged to different extents.

Challenges to PWLE engagement

The literature also described four main challenges to engaging PWLE in MHSU contexts:

Perceived legitimacy



Academic expertise may be disproportionately privileged over lay knowledge.

Emotional complexities



Engagement can evoke both painful and positive emotions; pathologizing these emotions can further stigmatize PWLE.

Representativeness



Initiatives may fail to include diverse perspectives, and may raise questions of authenticity and professionalization.

Structural barriers



Stigma, biases, and limited personal resources can restrict PWLE's ability to engage.

Engaging people with lived experience in mental health and substance use decision-making

There are several limitations to the evidence presented:

- There was a lack of concrete guidance around engagement of PWLE in MHSU policy contexts; engaging equity-denied groups; and impacts of engagement.
- Our rapid approach to evidence synthesis was not optimized to capture all relevant historical and critical literature on the topic.
- PWLE were not engaged as part of the formal research team.



Considerations for decision-makers:



Align selected approaches with the policy stage



Establish funded leadership roles for PWLE



Recruit PWLE thoughtfully and have clear roles



Tailor processes to the preferences and needs of PWLE



Offer a range of engagement opportunities



Respect emotions - don't pathologize them



Support PWLE and facilitators throughout the engagement



Foster safe spaces for engagement




Consider accessibility needs



Reflect on power dynamics & have challenging conversations



Engage diverse PWLE and draw on collective knowledge



Evaluate impact, when possible

References

- (1) Abayneh, S., Lempp, H., Alem, A., Kohrt, B. A., Fekadu, A., & Hanlon, C. (2020). Developing a Theory of Change model of service user and caregiver involvement in mental health system strengthening in primary health care in rural Ethiopia. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 14(1), 51. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-020-00383-6>
- (2) Abelson, J., Forest, P. G., Eyles, J., Smith, P., Martin, E., & Gaudin, P. (2001). Deliberations about Deliberation: Issues in the Design and Evaluation of Public Consultation Processes. *McMaster University Centre for Health Economics and Policy Analysis*. (3) Baumann, L. A., Reinhold, A. K., & Brütt, A. L. (2022). Public and patient involvement in health policy decision-making on the health system level - A scoping review. *Health Policy*, 126(10), 1023-1038. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2022.07.007>
- (4) Barter, S., Barnes, A., Lee, C., Mead, R., & Clowes, M. (2023). Increasing public participation and influence in local decision-making to address social determinants of health: A systematic review examining initiatives and theories. *Local Government Studies*, 49(5), 861-887. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03085142.2022.2081651>
- (5) Bombard, Y., Baker, G. R., Orlando, E., Fancott, C., Bhutta, P., Casadino, S., Chate, K., Denis, J.-L., & Potvin, M.-P. (2018). Engaging patients to improve quality of care: A systematic review. *Implementation Science*, 13(1), 98. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-018-0784-z>
- (6) Canadian Institutes of Health Research Government of Canada. (2005, October 3). Patient Engagement—CIHR. <http://chir-irc.gc.ca/2727277.html>
- (7) Centre for Addiction and Mental Health. (2024, April 21). The Ontario Perception of Care Tool for Mental Health and Addictions. CAMH. <http://improvingminds.ca/projects/ontario-perception-of-care/>
- (8) Chachouda, L., Dabbous, M., François, C., Dussart, C., Abouk, S., & Tourn, M. (2020). Use of Patient Preference Information in Benefit-Risk Assessment, Health Technology Assessment, and Pricing and Reimbursement Decisions: A Systematic Literature Review of Attempts and Initiatives. *Frontiers in Medicine*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.543046>
- (9) Corin, F., Brown, M. K., Ikeda, D. J., Tietz, D., Shernoback, C., Barn-Lucas, A., & Agre, B. D. (2021). Beyond tokenism in quality management and programming: Moving from participation to meaningful involvement of people with HIV in New York State. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 33(1). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyaa004>
- (10) Damsela, E., Nekroni, M., & Kocurek, J. (2020). Quality Standards on Youth Engagement and Family Engagement: Defining Excellence for Engagement. *Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health*, 39(2), 59-64. <https://doi.org/10.7870/cjcmh-2020-011>
- (11) Faddalah, R., El-Jardali, F., Norrme, M., Hernad, N., Arif, K., Langlois, E. V., & Al, E. A. (2019). Using narratives to impact health policy-making: A systematic review. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 7(1), 26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12919-019-0423-4>
- (12) Faulkner, A., Vranicouli, S., Kalath, J., Crepaz-Kacy, D., Singer, F., James, N., Griffiths, N., Perry, E., Forde, D., & Kulevsk, J. (2015). Involvement for Influence: National Survivor User Network. (13) Feige, S., & Choubak, M. (2019). Compensating People with Lived Experience: Best Practices from the Literature. *Community Engaged Scholarship Institute*. (14) Gunning, G., Richardson, A., Wyeth, E., Edmonds, L., & Derrett, S. (2020). Child/youth, family and public engagement in paediatric services in high-income countries: A systematic scoping review. *Health Expectations: An International Journal of Public Participation in Health Care & Health Policy*, 23(2), 261-273. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hex.13017>
- (15) Health Quality Ontario. (2017). Ontario's Patient Engagement Framework. (16) International Association for Public Participation. (n.d.). Core Values, Ethics, Spectrum - The 3 Pillars of Public Participation. Retrieved April 22, 2024, from <https://www.iap2.org/page/pillars>
- (17) Kolade, O. R., Porat-Daherbruch, J., Mokhturov, R., van Achterberg, T., & Ellen, M. E. (2024). Strategies for engaging older adults and informal caregivers in health policy development: A scoping review. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-024-0107-9>
- (18) Li, K. K., Abelson, J., Giacomini, M., & Contandriopoulos, D. (2015). Conceptualizing the use of public involvement in health policy decision-making. *Social Science & Medicine*, 138, 14-21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socmed.2015.05.023>
- (19) Mandah, H., Redfern, J., Mithsah, S., Cheng, H. L., Phongsavan, P., & Pennington, S. R. (2023). How are adolescents engaged in obesity and chronic disease prevention policy and guideline development? A scoping review. *Global Health Research and Policy*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.18618/ghr-2023-00294-2>
- (20) Morris, H., Hyslop, E., Schulz, P., Jenkins, E., & Haines-Smith, R. J. (2021). It's a Bit of a Double-Edged Sword: Motivation and Personal Impact of Bereaved Mothers' Advocacy for Drug Policy Reform. *Qualitative Health Research*, 31(10), 1812-1822. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10497315211006383>
- (21) Mugisha, J., Hanlon, C., Kizek, B. L., Ssebunnya, J., Vancampfort, D., Kinyanda, E., & Kigozi, F. (2019). The experience of mental health service users in health system strengthening: Lessons from Uganda. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 13(1), 60. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-019-0216-6>
- (22) ONPUD. (2023, August 26). ONPUD - Ontario Network of People who use Drugs. ONPUD. <https://onpuud.com/>
- (23) Ontario. (2024). Mental Health and Addictions Leadership Advisory Council. <https://news.ontario.ca/health/2019/mental-health-and-addictions-leadership-advisory-council>
- (24) Osman, A. D., Lewin, S., Lewis, J. H., & Fretheim, A. (2009). SUPPORT Tools for evidence-informed health policymaking (STP) 15: Engaging the public in evidence-informed policymaking. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 7(1), 515. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1478-7552-7-515>
- (25) Papadimitriou, C. P., & Ward, P. R. (2017). Understanding the factors that make public participation effective in health policy and planning: A realist synthesis. *Australian Journal of Primary Health*, 23(6), 315-320. <https://doi.org/10.18618/ajph-2017-02294-2>
- (26) Razavi, S. D., Kapiri, L., Wilson, M., & Abelson, J. (2020). Applying priority-setting frameworks: A review of public and vulnerable populations' participation in health-system priority setting. *Health Policy*, 124(12), 133-142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2019.12.005>
- (27) Restall, G. (2015). Conceptualizing the outcomes of involving people who use mental health services in policy development. *Health Expectations*, 18(5), 167-179. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hex.12091>
- (28) Ryan, G. K., Semrau, M., Nkurunzira, E., & Mpango, R. S. (2019). Service user involvement in global mental health: What have we learned from recent research in low and middle-income countries? *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 24(4), 355-360. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0000000000000526>
- (29) Scholz, B., Roper, C., Junjaramkiet, P., & Heppell, B. (2019). Understanding the Role of Allies in Systemic Consumer Empowerment: A Literature Review. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 40(4), 354-361. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01638342.2018.1553004>
- (30) Scholz, B., Bevan, A., Georgioupolou, E., Collier, A., & Mitchell, I. (2019b). Consumer and carer leadership in palliative care academia and practice: A systematic review with narrative synthesis. *Palliative Medicine*, 33(8), 959-968. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269216319854012>
- (31) Schreier, M. (2024, April 2). Just a pinch: Women frustrated as medical community downplays pain of IUD insertion. *Healthy Debate*. <https://healthydebate.ca/2024/04/topic/medical-community-downplays-pain-iud/>
- (32) Smith-Werry, J. (2020). Evidence-based policy: knowledge from experience and validity. *Evidence & Policy*, 16(2), 305-316. <https://doi.org/10.1332/174426419X1570026553524>
- (33) The Empowerment Council. (n.d.). The Empowerment Council. Retrieved April 22, 2024, from <https://empowermentcouncil.ca/>
- (34) The National Mental Health Commission. (2017). Consumer and carer engagement: A practical guide. *Australian Government*. (35) The Provincial System Support Program. (2019). Fostering Meaningful Engagement of Persons with Lived Experience at the System-level. *Centre for Addiction and Mental Health*. (36) United Nations. (2004). UN Enable—International Day of Disabled Persons, 2004—United Nations. New York. <https://www.un.org/esa/socdev/enable/ddp2004.htm>
- (37) Walsh, L., Hyett, N., Juniper, N., Li, C., & Hill, S. (2022). The Experiences of Stakeholders Using Social Media as a Tool for Health Service Design and Quality Improvement: A Scoping Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(22), 14851. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192214851>
- (38) Watson, D., Mhlobo, M., Molekeng, G., Chouke, T. A., Simoa, S. C., Jenner, S., Ware, L. J., & Barker, M. (2023). How do we best engage young people in decision-making about their health? A scoping review of deliberative priority setting methods. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-022-0794-2>
- (39) Weinstein, L. C., Chilton, M., Turchi, R., Klassen, A. C., LaRoue, M., Silvero, A., & Cabossa, L. J. (2021). It's common sense that an individual must eat: Advocating for food justice with people with psychiatric disabilities through photovoice. *Health Expectations*, 24(5), 61-73. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hex.13101>
- (40) Wiles, L. K., Kay, D., Luker, J. A., Worley, A., Austin, J., Ball, A., Bevan, A., Cousins, M., Dalton, S., Hodges, E., Horvat, L., Kerrins, E., Marker, J., McKinnon, M., McMillan, P., de Plaza, M. A. P., Smith, J., Young, D., & Hillier, S. L. (2022). Consumer engagement in health care policy, research and services: A systematic review and meta-analysis of methods and effects. *PLoS ONE*, 17(1 January). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0261808>
- (41) Youth Wellness Hubs Ontario. (2024). YWHO's Youth and Family Advisory Councils | Youth Wellness Hubs Ontario. <https://youthhubs.ca/ywhos-youth-and-family-advisory-councils>

About STREAM Lab

Supporting Transformation through Research, Evidence, and Action in Mental Health (STREAM) Lab is dedicated to meeting the evidence needs of mental health and addictions decision-makers in Ontario and beyond. STREAM products focus on evidence related to health systems, delivering actionable insights that can inform planning and decision-making. STREAM is based at the *Waypoint Centre for Mental Health Care*. The findings in this product should not be taken to represent the views of Waypoint or our funders.